Revenue (193) Departmen

SERIES.

G.O. No. 286

DATED 25. 3. 36

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Audit

32871

M.R.Ry P. Venkatasubbayya,- late Head clerk of the District Court, Bellary - pension of.

Enclosures :—

2 service books plus six.

FORT ST. GEORGE, MADRAS,

No. Pen.V.56-1977. 35-36

Dated the 9th October *193* 16 E

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Madras, (P.V. Section).

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Finance (Pension) DEPARTMEN

SIR,

I have the honour to invite a reference to t
office certificate dt and report Pen.No.V.78/34-35/301
dated 30th January 1935 on the subject indicated ab
and to transmit herewith the pension papers of the individual, since received from the District Judge, Bellary, together with a copy of his proceedings da
29th July 1935. The amount of pension admissible for the total qualifying service on 31st October 19
as

as already reported remains unaltered; and orders of Government are requested, under Article 931 Civil Service Regulations, regarding the date from which the pension is to take effect, in the special circumstances in involving delay from the 1st November 1934, the date on which the officer ceased to be borne in the establishment of the District Judge, to 29th July 1935, the date of proceedings of the District Judge retiring the officer under Article 465 Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

[illegible]

DEPUTY [illegible]

Proceedings of the District Court of Bellary.

Dated 29th July 1935.

---

1. Read: The charges ~~framed~~ dated 4th October 1934 framed against M.R.Ry. P.Venkatasubbayya, Sheristadar of this Court who has been out of duty.

2. Read: The explanation dated 25-10-1934 with reference thereto

3. Read also the record of enquiry.

O R D E R.

The Sheristadar of this Court, M.R.Ry. P.Venkatasubbayya, was the Secretary of the Government Servants' Co-Operative Society, Bellary till 10-2-1934 when, on account of action having been taken against him by the Co-operative Department and the recovery of Rs.111/- from him by way of surcharge, he resigned that office. About the same time, he went on leave from the office of Sheristadar of this Court. Shortly afterwards, the irregularities committed by him were brought by the Co-operative Department to the notice of the then District Judge, Mr. J.C. Stodart, who called for further particulars and framed four charges against him.

2. Before setting out the charges, it may be mentioned that the sum of Rs.111/- surcharged against the Sheristadar by the Co-operative Department was in respect of compensation for the loss of interest caused to the Society by the Secretary having kept the Society's monies with himself instead of depositing the same in the current account of the Society with the Branch of the Nospet Co-operative Society at Bellary, wherein interest is allowed even on daily balance. The non-deposit with the Bank and the retention of the cash with the Secretary ~~is~~ is contrary to rules of the Society and was condemned even so far back as June 1930, when the current account in question was opened.

...

3. ... September 1st ... Rs.1100/- to ..., ... January 1st, ... was so much of ... with ... withdrew ... of persons to ... out in the ... as Rs.100/- ... cover the ... above the ... already in ... mentions in ... of Rs.1000/- ... Rs.1000/- on ... Christmas holidays only Rs. ...

4. The ... the Cheristadar ...

5. In his ... balances ... be with him, as ... again, the hours ... to 3 P.M. when ... District Court, ... himself. If so, ... making disbursements ... explained as to ... of the Bank.

6. ... Department and ... explain why ...

7. ...

anticipated demands during the ensuing Christmas holidays, when the Nospet Bank was closed. But, there were monies paid to the credit of the Society also during those holidays and there were no heavy demands as anticipated. If what he says were true, then he would have redeposited into the Bank the money lying with him immediately after the close of the Cristmas holidays. But he never did any such thing.

8. He denies that he made use of the money for his own purpose and alleges that the cash with him was inspected on several occasions by the Officers of the Cooperative Department from 2nd July 1933 to 22nd July 1934, the last of which was on 22-1-1934. He relied upon the entries in the cash book as evidence of the verification. But, the entries were examined by Mr.Stodart and found not to contain a record of any such check except on 22-1-1934. But, before then, the enquiry against the Sheristadar by the Co-operative Department had begun and he could not take credit for the subsequent production of cash.

9. The second and third charges relate to two sums of Rs.[illegible]/- and Rs.600/- of one Mr.Agadi Nareppa, a merchant of Bellary town. The former was in fixed deposit with the Society till 6-6-1934, when Mr.Nareppa applied for its renewal. But, instead of renewing it, the Sheristadar kept the money with himself and paid him the interest out of his pocket. Mr.Nareppa's statement, a copy of which was furnished to the Sheristadar, shows that this sum was not taken back by him at the end of the first year of the deposit and before it was re-deposited in the third year. He says that he received interest from Mr.Venkatasubbayya during the second year also, as he did in the first and the third year; though the amount was not in deposit with the society during the second year. It was kept by Mr.Venkatasubbayya who paid the interest for that year from out of his pocket. He did not care to meet the charge either by proving that during that year the amount was in deposit with the society or that it was paid back

to Mr. [illegible]

10. [illegible]
entrusted [illegible]
towards [illegible]
returned [illegible]
interest [illegible]
this is [illegible]
sum was [illegible]
was paid [illegible]
transaction [illegible]
by itself, [illegible]
right [illegible]
interest. [illegible]
was made [illegible]
says, the [illegible]
was not entitled [illegible]
that [illegible]
statement [illegible]
examine [illegible]
myself by [illegible]

11. [illegible]
Mr. Venkatachalapathi [illegible]
Mr. Narayana [illegible]
but instead of [illegible]
Mr. Venkatachalapathi [illegible]
evidently for [illegible]
that he retain [illegible]

12. [illegible]
on himself [illegible]
other, [illegible]
dealt with [illegible]
not so far, [illegible]
view of [illegible]

1

which is the Society's rate, instead of dealing with others' monies and paying them interest at that rate. And again, if he was minded to do the latter, he would not, out of a large body of persons including women who had dealings with the Society, have chosen such respectable men as Mr.Mareppa and a retired Sub-Magistrate for the purpose of such dealing. But, it is not easy to get a loan at the same rate of interest as is allowed by the Society itself to its depositors. It is quite conceivable that there were other cases of such dealing on the part of Mr.Venkatasubbayya, but evidence thereof has not been forthcoming. That is no reason why the evidence that is actually available should be disbelieved.

14. The fourth charge is that he maintained a book of printed receipts of his own, so as to make depositors believe that monies were received on behalf of the Society. If really Mr.Venkatasubbayya were innocent, he had no need to keep printed receipts of his own. This charge, if proved, brings to light the method employed by the Secretary for making customers believe that he received the money onl on behalf of the Society, when in fact, it was not so. It strengthens the inference from the other charges that he utilised the Society's monies for his own purpose.

15. In respect of this charge, the statement of a retired Sub-Magistrate was relied upon and a copy of it was furnished to Mr. Venkatasubbayya. It is to the effect that he gave Mr.Venkatasubbayya Rs.1000/- at the end of January 1933 towards fixed deposit with the Society and got from him a printed receipt for that sum. He was told by the Secretary that a regular fixed deposit receipt would be given to him after his application was accepted in the meeting. Accordingly, one was given to him on 5-3-1933 and for the intervening period the Secretary gave him Rs.5/- and odd towards interest and took back the provisional receipt. Mr.Venkatasubbayya denies that he made any such payment but, as in the case of Mr.Mareppa, he did not even wish to cross-examine this retired Sub-Magistrate. Nor does he say that he has any motive to speak falsely against him. This charge also is, therefore, proved.

16. In his letter to the Government recommending that the pension of the Sheristadar might be suitably reduced, my learned predecessor Mr.Stodart found the charges proved. Instead of taking those findings as final, I have, in discharge of my duty before imposing a suitable punishment, carefully gone through the papers to find out if the Sheristadar is to be punished. My conclusions agree with those of Mr.Stodart's.

17. As to the punishment, it is clear that Mr.Venkatasubbayya abused the confidence reposed in him. He was chosen as Secretary of the Government Servants' Co-operative Society, because of his holding office under the Government. A higher degree of rectitude and honesty is required from an official and, the more so, in the Co-operative Department. It is therefore necessary to mete out an adequate, if not a deterrant, punishment. He was Head Clerk till 1st November 1932, when he was promoted as acting Sheristadar and was confirmed as such from 13th April 1933. Within ten months afterwards his misconduct in the Co-operative Society came to light and he went on leave immediately. He should not, in these circumstances, be permitted to retire as the ~~Sharistad~~ Sheristadar of the District Court, Bellary. He will be reduced to the place of Head Clerk, District Court, from 1st November 1934, (the date from which he was on his application permitted to retire). He will also retire from service from that date. As Head Clerk he will be placed on Rs.150/- in the time scale of 125-5-150 Rs.

18. This punishment does not affect the pension of the officer. It only deprives him of the right to call himself a retired District Court Sheristadar. But for my learned predecessor Mr.Stodart's opinion that he found him "capable, industrious and honest", I would have meted out a more severe punishment which the misconduct deserves.

(Sd.)P.C.Tyagaraja Ayya

District Court, Bellary,
Dated 29 July 1935.

(True copy)

District Judge.

District Judge.

1935

T. & A, 190-A

Statement of average emoluments of M.A.Ry. P.Venkatasubbayya.

Length of service. From	To	Period. Y.	M.	D.	Rate in rupees of monthly pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Amount. Rs.	A	P.	Remarks.
1-11-1931.	28-2-1933.	1	4	0	150	0	0	2400	0	0	
1-3-1933	31-10-1933.	0	8	0	180	0	0	1440	0	0	
1-11-1933	31-10-1934.	1	0	0	190	0	0	2280	0	0	
				Total.				6120	0	0	
				Average.				170	0	0	
				Pension.				85/-			

District Court, Office,
Bellary
Dated 1 August 1935.

Signature M.Venugopal

Designation District Judge.

C F 238- 8,899—29-10-31.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of applicant. M.R.RY.P.VENKATASUBBAYYA.

2. Father's name. SUBBAPANDITHA.

3. Race, Sect and Caste. BRAHMIN.

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. MADDIGIRI.

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. HEAD-CLERK, District & Sessions Court, Bellary.

6. Date of beginning of service 6-7-1903.

7. Date of ending of service. 31-10-1934.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	31	3	25.
of which superior	31	3	3.
inferior		...	
non-qualifying and interruptions	0	0	22.

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Retiring pension under Article 465 C.S.R.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs.170/-.

11. Proposed pension Rs.85/-

12. Proposed gratuity. ...

85 - 8½ / 76½

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1-11-1934.

14. Place of payment. BELLARY. ~~Bellary~~

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ 23-6-1880.

16. Height. 5 feet 6 inches.

17. Marks. 1.One scar on each eye brow 2.One scar below left knee joint.3.One mole centre of chest.

18. Date on which the applicant applied for pension. 30-9-1934.

19. Period of Military service. Nil.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

20. Government under which service has been rendered. Madras.

District & Sessions Court, Bellary, dated 1st January 35.

Signature of Head of Office.

P.Venkatasubbayya

District Judge.

CERTIFIED that these finger prints have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendence from the left hand of P. Venkatasubbayya for whom this application is made.

late Head Clerk

STATION, Bellary

Date, 1-1-1935

P.Venkatasubbayya
District Judge.
SIGNATURE.

Little finger.

Ring finger.

Middle finger.



Forefinger

Thumb.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF M. R. Rg. P. Venkata Subbayya.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of Beginning.	Date of Ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		Rs.	Rs.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Dt. Munsif's	Amin	12		6-7-03	28-2-04	-	7	25	-	-	-	✓		
Court, Penukonda	Leave on loss of pay			29-2-04	20-3-04	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	
	Amin	12		21-3-04	15-8-04	-	4	25	-	-	-	✓		
	7th Clerk	15		16-8-04	11-12-05	1	3	26	-	-	-	-		
	Examiner of Copies	17		12-12-05	15-8-06	-	8	4	-	-	-	-		
	5th Clerk	17/8		16-8-06	7-12-08	2	3	22	-	-	-	-		
Dt. Court, Bellary	7th Clerk	20		8-12-08	31-8-10	1	8	23	-	-	-	-		
	6th Clerk	20		1-9-10	30-9-10	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	5th Clerk	25		1-10-10	7-7-15	4	9	7	-	-	-	-		
	4th Clerk	25		8-7-15	8-1-19	3	6	1	-	-	-	-		
	Superintendent of Copyists	30		9-1-19	28-2-19	-	1	21	-	-	-	-		
NL'M...	Bellary 1st clerk	20		1-3-19	10-8-20	1	5	10	-	-	-	-		

Acquittance rolls.

y.	Translator	75		16-6-20	28-2-21	.	8	15	.	.	.		
	Do.	96		1-3-21	28-2-22	1	.	.	.	.	.	/	
	Do.	100		1-3-22	28-2-23	1	.	.	.	.	.	/	
	Do.	104		1-3-23	8-7-23	.	4	8	.	.	.	/	
Dt: Court, Bellary.	Do.	104		9-7-23	29-2-24	.	7	21	.	.	.	/	
	Do.	108		1-3-24	28-2-25	1	.	.	.	.	.	/	
	Do.	112		1-3-25	28-2-26	1	.	.	.	.	.		
	Do.	116		1-3-26	8-6-26	.	3	8	.	.	.	/	
	Head. clerk.	125		9-6-26	13-8-26	-	2	5	.	.	.	/	
	Do.	130		14-8-26	12-8-27	.	11	29	.	.	.	/	
	LAP	130		13-8-27	12-9-27	-	1	-				/	
	Do.	130		13-9-27	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	/	
	Do.	135		14-9-27	29-9-27	.	.	16	.	.	.	/	
	LAP	135		30-9-27	23-10-27	-	-	24				/	
	LAP on M.C	135		24-10-27	31-10-27	.	.	7				/	
	Head. clerk	135		1-11-27	13-8-28	.	9	13	.	.	.		

Verified with pay bills an

Head. Clerk	140		14-8-28	13-8-29	1	.	.	.	.	.	✓	
	145		14-8-29	13-8-30	1	.	.	.	.	.	✓	
	150		14-8-30	14-12-31	1	4	1	.	.	.	✓	
L A P	150		15-12-31	23-12-31	.	.	9				✓	
Do	150		24-12-31	31-10-32	.	10	7	.	.	.	✓	
Ag. Sheristadar	150	30	1-11-32	28-2-33	-	4	.				✓	
Do	150	30	1-3-33	12-4-33	-	1	12	.	.	.	✓	The place was permanently vacant from 1-3-33 to 12-4-33. Emoluments count towards pension under Article 486 C.S.R.
Sheristadar	180		13-4-33	31-10-33	-	6	18	.	.	.	✓	
Do.	190		1-11-33	5-2-34	-	3	6	.	.	.	✓	
L A P	190		6-2-34	21-5-34	-	3	16				✓	
L H P	95		22-5-34	30-6-34			.	.	1	7	✓	
Do	95		1-7-34	31-10-34				.	4	.	✓	
					30	9	24	-	6	1	✓	
Add under Article 408 C.S.R.					.	5	9	.	5	9	✓	
					31	3	3	-	-	22	✓	

Vide note with pay bills and acquittance rolls.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Reported to be good so far as this department is concerned.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Copy of the Order reducing him to the post of Head Clerk is enclosed.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (*See* Chapter XXI).

4. Explanation under Article 427, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (*See* Article 911) (*b*). Services established and should be admitted. Pension claimed should be admitted under Note 2 under Article 918 C. S. R.

M J Meenagh

SIGNATURE.

District and Sessions Judge

DIR 1.8.35.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER.

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations.

The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application. 30 - 9 - 1934.

Name of applicant. M. R. Ry. P. Venkatasubbayya.

Last appointment. Head. clerk, District Court, Bellary.

Class of pension or gratuity. Retiring Pension

Amount of pension sanctioned. Rs 85/-

Amount of gratuity sanctioned

Date of commencement 1 - 11 - 1934

Date of cessation.

C F. 236.--8,899—29-10-31.

16

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of applicant. M.R.RY.,P.VENKATASUBBAYYA.

2. Father's n me. SUBBAPANDITHA.

3. Race, Sect and Caste. BRAHMIN.

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. HADDIGIRI.

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Sheristadar, District & Sessions Court, Bellary.

6. Date of beginning of service 6-7-1903.

7. Date of ending of service. 31-10-1934.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	31	3	25
of which superior	31	3	25.
inferior			...
non-qualifying and interruptions	..	..	21.

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Retiring pension under Article 465 C.S.R.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs.170/-.

11. ~~Proposed~~ pension Rs.85/-.

12. Proposed gratuity. ....

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1-11-1934.

14. Place of payment. BELLARY.

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. 23-6-1880.

16. Height. 5 feet 6 inches.

17. Marks. 1.One scar on each eye brow.2.One scar below left knee joint.3.One mole centre of chest.

18. Date on which the applicant applied for pension. 30-9-1934.

19. Period of Military service.....Nil.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

20. Government under which service has been rendered. Madras.

Signature of Head of Office.

District & Sessions Court, Bellary,
Dated 13 November '34

District Judge.

CERTIFIED that these finger prints have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendence from the left hand of P.Venkatasubbayya late Sheristadar, District Court for whom this application is made.

STATION, Bellary
Date, 13 November 1934.

SIGNATURE
District & Sessions Judge

Little finger.	Ring finger.	Middle finger.	Forefinger.	Thumb.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF M. R. Ry. P. Venkatasubbayya

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of Beginning.	Date of Ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		Rs.	Rs.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
District Munsif's Court, Penukonda	Amin	12		6.7.03	28.2.04	-	7	25					Verified with pay bills and acquittance rolls	
	Leave on loss of Pay			29.2.04	20.3.04				-	-	21			
	Amin	12		21.3.04	15.8.04	-	4	25						
	7th Clerk	15		16.8.04	11.12.05	1	3	26						
	Examining Copies	17		12.12.05	15.8.06	-	8	4						
	5th Clerk	17/8		16.8.06	7.12.08	2	3	22						
District Court, Bellary	7th Clerk	20		8.12.08	31.8.10	1	8	23						
	6th Clerk	20		1.9.10	30.9.10	-	1	-						
	5th Clerk	25		1.10.10	7.7.15	4	9	7						
	4th Clerk	25		8.7.15	8.1.19	3	6	1						
	Superintendent of Copyists	30		9.1.19	28.2.19	-	1	21						
District Munsif's Court, Bellary	2nd Clerk	35		1.3.19	15.6.20	1	3	15						
Dt: Court, Anantapur	Translator	75		16.6.20	28.2.21	-	8	15						

	do	100	1·3·22	28·2·23	1	.	.	
	do	104	1·3·23	8·7·23	.	4	8	
St. Court, Bellary	do	104	9·7·23	29·2·24	.	7	21	
	do	108	1·3·24	28·2·25	1	.	.	
	do	112	1·3·25	28·2·26	1	.	.	
	do	116	1·3·26	8·6·26	.	3	8	
	Head Clerk	125	9·6·26	13·8·26	.	2	5	
	do	130	14·8·26	12·8·27	.	11	29	
	L.A.P	130	13·8·27	12·9·27	.	1	.	
	do	130	13·9·27	-	-	-	1	
	do	135	14·9·27	29·9·27	-	-	16	
	L.A.P	135	30·9·27	23·10·27	.		24	
	LAP on M.C.	135	24·10·27	31·10·27	-	-	7	- - 8
	do	135	1·11·27	13·8·28	.	9	13	
			Carried over		25	0	9	- - 29

			Brought Forward		2	0	9[6]	.	.	29[22]	
Head-clerk	140		14·8·28	13·9·29	1	.	.				
do	145		14·8·29	13·8·30	1	.	.				
do	150		14·8·30	14·12·31	1	4	1				
L.A.P.	150		15·12·31	23·12·31	-	.	9				
do	150		24·12·31	31·10·32	-	10	7				
Ag. Sheristadar	150	30	1·11·32	28·2·33	-	4	-				
Ag. do.	150	30	1·3·33	12·4·33	.	1	12				The place was permanently vacant from 1·3·33 to 12·4·33 Emoluments count towards Pension under Article 486(A) Civil Service Regulations
Sheristadar	180		13·4·33	31·10·33	.	6	18				
do	190		1·11·33	5·2·34	.	3	5				
L.A.P	190		6·2·34	21·5·34	.	3	16				
L.H.P	95		22·5·34	30·6·34	.	.	.	.	1	9	
Do.	95		1·7·34	31·10·34				.	4	-	
			Total		30	9	17	.	6	8[1]	
Add under Article 408 Civil Service Regulations					0	5	17				
					31	3	4	.	.	.	

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. See separate letter. He has retired when charges were pending against him. His explanation and my report with relevant papers are enclosed.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Nil

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (*See* Chapter XXI). Nil

4. Explanation under Article 427, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (*See* Article 911) (*b*). Services established and should be admitted. Pension claimed should be admitted under Note 2 under Article 918 B. Civil Service Regulations.

SIGNATURE.
Dt. and Sessions Judge.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER.

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for nil years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations.

The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application. 30. 9. 1934

Name of applicant. M. R. Ry. P. Venkatasubbayya

Last appointment. Sheristadar, District Court, Bellary.

Class of pension or gratuity. Retiring Pension

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement 1 - 11 - 1934.

Date of [illegible]

22

Declaration under G.O.No.927 dated 23-9-1927.

I, P.Venkatasubbayya, Head-clerk, District Court, Bellary do hereby declare that I have neither applied nor received any pension or gratuity in respect of any portion of the service included in this application and in respect of which pension is claimed herein nor shall I submit any application hereafter without quoting reference to this application and the orders which may be passed thereon.

P. Venkatasubbayya

Read over to the applicant and acknowledged by him to be correct.

District Court, Bellary,
Dated 1st August 1934.

District Judge.



23

Bellary
30.9.1934

To

The District Judge
Bellary

Honoured Sir,

I beg to submit that the leave hitherto granted to me expires on 30.9.1934. On account of my old age and constant illness, I have become very weak and therefore I am unable to do any work hereafter. My health is very much impaired. I have put in more than 30 years' service. I complete my 56th year of age on 23.6.1935. I therefore most humbly and respectfully beg your honour to be kind enough to grant me leave till 22.6.1935 with permission to retire from 23.6.1935. I have no desire to join duty and wish to retire voluntarily. If the leave I have applied for is granted to me, I will get about five rupees more in my pension. Therefore I have applied for this leave. If your honour is not pleased to grant me this leave, I request that this application may be treated as an application for immediate voluntary retirement from 1.10.1934 and I may be permitted to do so as I have completed my thirty years' service.

He is eligible to have an half average pay for 2 years 3 months.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir
your Honour's most obedient servant

N. Venkatasubbaiya
Sheristadar
(on leave)

Submitted for favour of orders.

81

He must be given an opportunity of answering the charges against him before the amount of

Leave granted for October 1934. Permitted to retire ~~on that date~~ from the 1st Nov. 1934.

24

25

Law (Genl) Dy

T. M.

From the Asst. Secy. to
Govt Law Deptt.

To

The Asst. Registrar, High
Court, Madras

Pension – M. Rly. P.
Venkatasubbayya, late Head
Clerk, Dt. Court, Bellary.

Please send to
A.G's certificate and rep
dated 30.1.35, returned
as enclosures to letter No
1633 Ms. Dy 10.5.35.

Vide p 39 of (Y)
(Y)

V.C. Copy.

Dpd. by Van Messenger

Dpd. Officials.

6.11.35.

L.C.6853/B
15-11-35.

H.Ct. No. 4—23-5-35.

R.O.C. No. 4493/35-D3.

From

M. R. Ry. R. Jayaram Ayyar Avl., M.A., B.L.,
Registrar of the High Court of Judicature Madras.
(Appellate Side)

Assistant

15 NOV 1935

To

The District Judge,

Bellary.

Dated, Madras, the 13th November 1935

Subject: Pension - reduction - Mr.P.Venkata-subbayya, late Head Clerk, District Court, Bellary.

Sir,

The Accountant General's certificate and report dated 30--1--1935, in the above matter was sent to you with the High Court's communication R.O.C.No.4913/34-D3 dated 17-5-1935. As it is now required by Government, I am to request you to send it direct to the Assistant Secretary to Government, Law (General) Department, Madras.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Jayaram 14-11-35

Assistant Registrar, H.C.

Copy to

The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Law (General) Department,
(with reference to the post copy of his telephonic message dated 8-11-1935).

12.

reply, please quote number, date and port title.*

27 Judicial DEPARTMENT. L.C. 6499B

Received Registered



From (Name) W.O.Newsam Esquire, I.C.S.

(Designation) District Judge,

Post Box ....., (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address .....

To

The Assistant Secretary to Government
Law(General) Department, Madras.

No. 7613, dated 15th November 1935.

Sir,

Enclosures 2 6 nos

* Pension Mr.P.Venkatasubbayya, late Head Clerk, District Court, Bellary - High Court's Letter R.O.C. No.4493/35 D-3 dated 13-11-1935.

Spare Copies .....

I have the honour to submit herewith the Accountant-General's certficate and report No.Pen.V-78/34-35 dated 30-1-1935 referred to therein.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W.O.Newsam

R.S./15/11/35. 15/11 15/11/35

District Judge.

S. Y. 146.
(A[illegible]14, C. S. R.)

OFFICE OF THE Accountant-General Madras.

No. Pen. V.78/34-35/3019 Dated 30.1.1935.

FROM THE Accountant-General Madras. (P.V. Section)

To THE Secretary to the Government of Madras Finance (Pension) Dept Fort St. George Madras

SIR,

I have the honour to forward, for orders, an application for a Retiring Pension on behalf of M. A. Ry. P. Venkatasubbayya Late Sheristedar, District Court, Bellary.

received ~~from the~~ with ~~this letter~~ enclosed No. 45677-1, dated 5.1.35.

2. The reports required from this office, and the papers (specified below) connected with the application, are also forwarded.

I have the honour to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient Servant,

A. Ranganayakamma Jy

(Signature)

(Designation) Deputy ~~Assistant~~ Accountant-General

1-FEB 1935 FINANCE DEPT.

3955

Specification of papers transmitted herewith.

No. and date.	From whom.	To whom.	No. and date.	From whom.	To whom.

1-FEB 1935 FINANCE DEPT.

DCFP—1569Audit—(M-1825)—1-10-32—2,000.

29

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS.
(Pension Verification Section),
Fort St. George, 29th January 1935.

No. Pen. V. 78/34-35.

Enclosure.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

Certified that qualifying service of M.R.Ry. P. Venkatasubbayya late Sheristadar, District Court, Bellary in Superior grades has been duly proved for over 30 yea and that a Retiring pension not exceeding Rs.85/- (Rupe Eighty five only) per mensem is admissible under Artic 465 and 474(b) of the Civil Service Regulations.

2. The calculations have been duly verified.

3. The pension is chargeable to Provincial Reve in the following manner*, is voted and will commence f 1st November 1934.

* 45 Superannuation Allowances and Pensions, Superannuation and Retired Allowances- Provincial - Voted.

4. It is not clear whether the retirement of th officer terminates the charges framed against him. At is invited to Article 72 B.(b) of the Madras Financial Account Code, Volume I in case the charges are pending disposal, and to Article 470 of the Civil Service Regu and the High Court's letter R.O.C. No. 4913/34 J.3 dat 21st December 1934 suggesting a reduction of ten per c in the amount of the pension.

A. Ramaswamy
DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GEN

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Finance, (Pension) Department with refe
endorsement No. 45677-1 dated 9

53

Fin (Pen) Dept.

Pensn :- M. Rly. P. V. ... Asstt. Head Clerk, Dt. Court, Bellary.

From the Asstt. Secy., no. P.V. 5 of 1937 dt. 25.26 dt. 5/10 10-35.

Please see the A.G.'s letter & enclosure on M.L.S.C.F. The completed report dt. 30-1-1935 (noted as p.l.c.f. finally was sent to Law Dept.) with letter no. no-45677-5 v. 10-4-35 submits that they may report to put up that report for orders.

Law (Genl) 21.X.35

5/7 1)

A

1
20.10.35.
Sent
21.X.35

Law (Genl) Dept.

no. 32871-1
22-10-35

AS.

~~Please see the Finance Dept's note about~~ The A.G.'s report and certificate referred to by memorandum dated were returned to the High Court as enclosures to letter No. 16'33 Ls. dt. 10-5-35. They may be obtained from the High Court. Adiaps. T.M. Subd. for approval.

1
25.
7/11

Sd/ 8/11

RECEIVED START TO GOVT. 22 OCT 1935 L&L DEPT.

L.C. 6255/B
22.10.35.

1 1 37 1 1

2 SW

From the High Court Roc No 4493/35 D 23) 13-11-35 , 2
From the Dt Judge, Bellary Dis No 7613 D) 15-11-35 , 1

A.S.

Please see notes on p/ante. The A.G.'s certificate and report have been forwarded by the Dt Judge and his att at pages 29 cf. May be sent to Fin. Dn.

25-11-35

L.G. No. 62 F.B. 2)-11-35

27 NOV 1935

6 T

Finance (Pension).

The case relates to the grant of a pension to M.R.Ry. P.Venkatasubbayya, late Sarishtadar, District Court, Bellary. He has rendered a service of over 30 years and is ordinarily eligible for a pension of Rs.85 per mensem.

2. The facts of the case are briefly as follows.

Mr. Venkatasubbayya was Secretary to the Govt. Servants' Co-operative Society at Bellary. On information from the Collector of the district who is the President of the Society that Mr.Venkatasubbayya had misconducted himself, the District Judge (Mr. Stodart) framed charges against his conduct as Secretary, Co-operative Society and found the charges proved. The details of the charges against the officer and the findings of the District Judge are a pages 3-7 of G.O.1633 Law (Genl.) dated 10-5-35. He did not however pass final orders on the proceedings conducted by him in regard to the conduct of the officer, but he permitted him to retire (under Art.4 from 1-11-34. ~~so.~~ At the same time he recommended that the applicant's conduct as Secretary to the Co-operative Society should be taken into account in fixing the amount of pension. The High Court recommended a reduction of 10 per cent in the pension i.e Rs.8-8-0 per mensem.

It was held by Government that the action of the District Judge in having permitted the Sarishtadar to retire before passing orders on the enquiry conducted by him was not in order and that Art.470 C.S.R. could not be availed of for imposing a penalty on disciplinary action taken against a Govt. servant. The District Judge was therefore directed

to cancel his previous orders permitting the Sarishtadar to retire and to pass orders on the enquiry conducted against the Government servant. The District Judge was also told that if he, as a result of the enquiry, considered it necessary to impose a punishment, he should impose one of the penalties mentioned in Rule 49 of the Classification Rules - see letter to the High Court on pages 39-40 of G.O.1633 Law (Genl), dated 10-5-35. With reference to the above instructions the District Judge of Bellary has passed orders copy of which is at pp.3-8 c.f. It will be seen from paras 17 and 18 of the proceedings that the Sarishtadar has been reduced as Head clerk on Rs.150 in the scale of Rs.125-150 with effect from 1-11-1934 the date from which he was permitted to retire. As Sarishtadar he was drawing Rs.190. By making the reduction to take effect from the date on which the Government servant was permitted to retire, the pension of the Government servant is not affected.

2. The following questions arise for consideration now:

(i) Whether Government should reduce his pension in virtue of Art.470 C.S.R. and if so whether reduction of 10 per cent in the amount of pension originally recommended by High Court may be effected;

(ii) the date from which the pension should be sanctioned with reference to Article 931 C.S.R. (The A.G. has raised this point.)

Item (i) above. Under clause (a) of Article 470 C.S.R. the full pension under the rules is not to be given as a matter of course, or unless the service rendered has been really approved, while clause (b) of the same Article lays down that if the service has not been thoroughly satisfactory, the authority

sanctioning the pension should make such reduction in ~~on~~ the amount as it thinks ~~fit~~ proper. Attention is invited to Appendix 9 of the Appendices to the C.S.R. where it is laid down "that the full pension should not be given as a matter of course, but only when the service performed has been really such as to merit the approval of Government and this should invariably be kept in view in considering recommendations for pension." In the present case the point is whether Mr.P.Venkatasubbayya's service can be said to have been "thoroughly satisfactory" as contemplated in Art.470(b) C.S.R. On page 14 c.f. "the character and past conduct of the applicant" are reported to be good so far as this (Judicial) department is concerned. Thus an attempt seems to have been made to differentiate between the Co-operative Societies episode and the rest of the officer's service. When assessing the quantum of pension the officer's service and conduct as a whole should, it seems, be viewed. In the above view, the pension was reduced in a somewhat similar case of Mr. Rajangam Ayyar. Misconduct of Mr. P.Venkatasubbayya in connection with the transactions of the Govt. servant's Co-operative Society has been viewed by the Hon'ble Judges of the High Court as a 'very serious one'. The District Judge of Bellary Mr. Tyagaraja Ayyar who has now passed the proceedings on pp.3-8 c.f. has remarked that "but for his predecessor's opinion that he found his (the Government servant) capable, industrious and honest he would have meted out a more severe punishment which the misconduct deserves."
It will thus be seen that both the High Court and the District Judge have characterised the misconduct of Mr. Venkatasubbayya as "serious". It is for this misconduct he has been reduced to the Head clerk's post, but this punishment does not

16

ffect his pension. If his reduction had been ~~retrospective with~~ with effect from 6-2-34 it would have had the effect of reducing his pension as for the period from 6-2-34 to 31-10-34 only the pay of Rs.150 he could have got as head clerk and not his pay (Rs.190) as Sarishtadar could be taken as emoluments for pension. In the circumstances stated above it is for consideration whether a reduction in his pension is not called for and, if so, whether the recommendation of the High Court to reduce the pension of Rs.85 ordinarily admissible by 10 per cent may be accepted.

Item (ii). There seems to be no objection to sanction pension to the officer from 1-11-34, the date of his retirement, as he was not responsible for the delay for the sanction of the pension. Please see in this connection Art.930 C.S.R.

4. Subject to orders a draft order is submitted for approval. Before circulation it may be sent to Law (Genl.) for acceptance.

Law (Genl).

L.C.133 B

Law (General) Department.

A.S.

This file relates to the question of fixing the amount of pension of Mr.P.Venkatasubbiah, la Head Clerk, Dt.Court, Bellary. The facts of the case are stated in para.2 of the Finance Departm note. In December last the High Court recommended that pension admissible to Mr.Venkatasubbiah might be reduced by 10% in view of the fact that he has misconducted himself when he was Secy. of the Govt. Servants' Co-operative Society.

2. In letter No. 1633 dated 10-5-35 it was pointed out to the High Court that reduction of ~~pension~~ pension is not one ofthe penalties which may be imposed on a Govt. Servant under rule 49 of the Classification Rules and that Article 470 C.S.R. cannot be availed of for imposing a penalt on disciplinary action taken against a Government servant. It was therefore directed that the order of retirement should be cancelled and that a proper punishment should be meted out to the petitioner. Mr.Venkatasubbiah was then reduced as Head Clerk Dt. Court as a measure of punishment. He has since retired and the amount of pension admissible to him is proposed to be reduced by 10%.

3. Finance Dept. endorse the view of the High Court that the amount of pension should be reduced. They consider (1) that when assessing the quantum of pension with reference to Art. 470 C.S.R. the officer's service and conduct as a whole should be viewed (2) that Mr.Venkatasubbiah's misconduct i connection with the Govt. Servant's Co-operative Society has been characterised as a very serious one and (3) that, as his reduction as Head Clerk from the post of Sheristadar does not affect his

his pension at all, there should be ~~no~~ a reduction in pension.

4. It is submitted that in the circumstances stated in the Finance Deptt's note on pp.3-6 ante, this Department may agree to the reduction proposed, which is in accordance with the High Court's recommendation.

5. The file may be returned to Finance through Public (Ser). Finance may be requested to circulate the file to H.M.C.1 also.

JR 20-1-36

BB all!

JS 23.1.36

JR 24/1

1207

L. Asst. /33/B-1 23.1.36

Sec Dept

Please see the notes on the previous pages.

It is proposed to reduce the officer's pension under AR 470 CSR in view of the fact that he was found guilty of certain charges of misappropriating the moneys of a Govt. servants co-operative society. Reference is solicited to portions marked A at pp 3/4 of 34 and para 4

(Y) of D.S' note at Pg 36-37 of file 1633.L.Q.D. dt 10.5.93 It does not appear that Art 470 of the CSR can be invoked to reduce the pension of an officer in respect of acts unconnected with the official duties of the officer.

Sd/-

Put up.
31.1.86.

Sd/-

(Y) Please see my note at pages 36-37 of file 1633 Debt Land (Genl) dated 10.5.1935. I am in the views set out therein and which have been approved in circulation. The conclusion which I arrived at therein was that Article 470 of

10/6?

C.S.R. cannot be availed of for imposing a penalty as disciplinary action taken against a Government Servant. The Article can be invoked to reduce the pension of a Govt. Servant on the ground that his service was not satisfactory. | 4/2

~~But~~ the pension cannot be reduced as a punishment on a specific charge which did not relate to his official duties.

The Government servant in question was punished after inquiry into the charge against him. The punishment imposed was reduction to the post of head clerk with effect from 1-11-1935 but that punishment does not affect his pension as he was allowed to retire with effect from the same date. ~~He has not~~ ~~been punished in connection with the~~ ~~matter~~. The punishment awarded to him has proved ineffective in this case. Article 470 of the C.S.R cannot be invoked for making the punishment effective by reducing his pension. The pension can be reduced only if his service ~~conduct~~ is ~~found~~ to be not quite satisfactory.

4/2/36

3/2



3' 2½ Pen.
6-2-36.

Finance (Pension).

(Previous Finance note on pages 3-6 ante).

This file relates to the question of fixing the amount of pension of Mr. P.Venkatasubbiah, late Head clerk, District Court, Bellary. The facts of the case are summarised in para 2 on pages 3-4 ante. Mr. Venkatasubbiah was Secretary of the Govt. Servants' Co-operative Society. The District Judge found him guilty of using the funds of the Society for his own purposes and recommended that this conduct of the applicant might be taken into account in fixing his pension. The High Court has accordingly recommended a reduction of 10 per cent in the amount of the pension admissible to him.

2. In letter No. 1633 dated 10-5-35, it was pointed out to the High Court that reduction of pension is not one of the penalties which may be imposed on a Government servant under Rule 49 of the Classification Rules and that Article 470 C.S.R. cannot be availed of for imposing a penalty on disciplinary action taken against a Government servant. It was therefore directed that the order of retirement should be cancelled and that a proper punishment should be meted out to the pensioner. Mr. Venkatasubbiah was then reduced as Head Clerk,District Court as a measure of punishment. He has ~~since~~ retired and the amount of pension admissible to him is proposed to be reduced by 10 per cent.

3. This department endorsed the view of the High Court that the amount of pension should be reduced. It was considered -

(1) that when assessing the quantum of pension with reference to Article 470 C.S.R. the officer's service and conduct as a whole should be viewed,

(2) that Mr. Venkatasubbiah's misconduct in connection

connection with the Government Servants Co-operative Society has been characterised as a "very serious one", and

(3) that, as his reduction as Head clerk from the post of Sarishtadar does not affect his pension at all, there should be a reduction in pension.

4. A draft order reducing the pension of Mr. Venkata subbiah from Rs 85 to Rs 76½ per mensem was accordingly put up by this department. Law (Genl) have accepted the draft. Public (Ser) however contend that Article 470 C.S.R. cannot be invoked to reduce the pension of a Govt. servant for acts unconnected with his official duties (please see Public department notes on pages 8-10 ante).

5. Attention is invited to paras 1-3 of Public D.S.'s note on pages 34-36 of G.O. 1633 Law (Genl) dated 10-5-35. It will be seen that Public have held that disciplinary action could be taken against a Govt. servant under Rule 49 of the Classification Rules not only for things done by him as a Government servant but also in respect of conduct which though unconnected with his official duties was unworthy of a Govt. servant and that the District Judge, Bellary was right in conducting an enquiry against his Sarishtada for having misappropriated money belonging to Govt. Servants' Co-operative Society. At the same time they observed that the District Judge should not have allowed the Govt. servant to retire without passing orders on his enquiry and that this action was considered to be in contravention of orders in G.O., No. 818 Public (Ser) dated 6-8-1934. Hence the District Judge was ordered to cancel his order of retirement and to award such punishment as he considered fit. Now the District Judge has passed orders reducing the pensioner from the post of Sarishtadar to that of Head clerk on Rs 150 in the scale of Rs 125-5-150,

with effect from the date of retirement, with the result that the officer's pension remains unaffected

6. If a Govt. servant can be punished for acts no connected with his official work it is not clear why that punishment cannot be taken into account when deciding whether his service as a whole has been satisfactory or not for purposes of pension. I consider that such punishments also should be taken into account especially in a case like this where th punishment has proved ineffective. When under Artic 351 C.S.R. the misconduct of a pensioner can be take notice of and his pension reduced or withheld, I sub that such misconduct prior to retirement should also be taken into account when deciding the amount of pension to be granted.

In this case the applicant has been found guilty by the District Judge of misappropriation of funds belonging to the Govt. Servants' Co-operative Societ and the High Court has considered this offence very serious. It is for orders whether for this misconduc his pension may be reduced from Rs 85 to Rs 76-8-0 as recommended by the High Court and the Law departm

GO/4.3.86

C4 If the man was found guilty of C3 conduct during his service should punishm C1. reduction to a lower grade, then I do not consider that

this scheme can be considered approved. Then as the definite provisional understanding agreed. In this case the reduction of pension is to order + in the future is finalised.

NIM
6.3.36

I quite agree
Neil
11.3.36.

KK
11.3.35

* Public Services, may see the notes from page 11 ante before writing of the chapter.

[illegible]

Neil/12.3.36

No. 3287-3
12-3-36

Pub (Services).

Pub.
TT 3/

~~KS~~ 70/

Public Dept

This dept. last noted at pp 8-10. ante.

The notes at pp 11-13 and the orders in circulation at pp 13-14 ante may be perused and the file returned to Fin.

BAK

SS
17/3/36.

HR
17/3/36

CS
24/3/36

Chan

RG
9/3

Fin

Finance

Public Dept 75 23-7
24/3/936

Finance (Pe

Seen. Let the draft order may be issued

23.3.36

CS/20/3/36

71

20/
25/7

202—10-8-35.

FINANCE (PENSION) DEPARTMENT.

Pension— Retiring - P. Venkatasul M.R.Ry. P. - late Head Clk Rot. Const. Bellary - Sanc

Read—the following papers:—Letter No. 1633 MS-Law (General) of 10-5-1935

From the No. dated 193 .

" pp 1-2 CF Accountant-General, No. Pen. V. 5-6-1977/31.36 dated 9/10.10. 1935.

Order—No. 286 , **Finance (Pension), dated** 25-3-1936

Under articles 465, 470 (b)

para 29 CF

and 474 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations, the

Government sanction the grant of a ~~compensation~~ superannuation / retiring ~~pension~~ / ~~gratuity~~ / ~~invalid~~ of

85 8½ / 76½

Rupees (76 - 8 - 0) Seventy ~~Seven~~ Six and eight. only ~~only~~ per mensem to M.R.Ry. P. Venkatasubbayya

para 1 (y)

late Head Clerk Rot. Const. Bellary.

para 3 on page 29 CF

with effect from the 1st November 1934 in consideration of his qualifying superior / ~~inferior~~ service of over 30.

years.

2. The ~~pension~~ / ~~gratuity~~ is payable at Bellary.

and will be debited ~~as follows:—~~ / to the head

" 45. Superannuation Allowances and Pensions— Superannuation and Retiring allowances — ~~Non-voted~~ / Voted —Provincial

JK 31.1.36

GD/61.11.

To the Accountant-General (Pension Verification Section).

" the Hon'ble C.J. (under C.L.)

Copy to the Chief Secretary Department.

~~NOTE~~.

Put up Secy

with 4 copies of note

M.F. 15 N.F.

1938 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

E1/ 72
19251

.....193 . Registered.....193 .

Abstract.

- Establishment - Abolition of Civil Jail, Madras, and
quent reduction in staff - Proposition statement - Sanctioned.

- -

Home Department.

G.O.No.Ms.2707, dated the 27th May 1938.

Read again the following paper:--
G.O. No. 1334 Home, dated 14-3-1938.
Read also the following papers:--
he Inspector-General of Prisons, No. 123/B1/38, dated 28-4-38.
ement by the Accountant-General, Madras, No. Jails.II-57, dated 11-5-1938.

. . R:--

The proposition statement submitted by the Inspector-General Prisons with his letter read above is sanctioned. This sanction s been registered as No. 59 of 1938-39.

(By Order of His Excellency the Governor)

H.F.Hood,
Secretary to Government.

To the Inspector-General of Prisons.) (with copy of
" Accountant-General through the Finance Dept.) proposition
" Finance Department.) statement).
" Public (Rules) Department.)
" Finance (C.E.R.) Department.)

(True Copy)
Forwarded.
(By Order)

Superintendent.

Finance ET.

Lodged

31/5/38

31.5.38

Try. XXXIII-10.

Statement of Proposition f

(*See* Chapter 5, Art

Code Form 5.

Nature of Charge.													
Present scale.												Prop	
Number. (1)	Designation. (2)	Pay. Minimum. (3)		Pay. Increment. (4)		Pay. Maximum. (5)		Average cost. (6)		Number. (7)	Designation. (8)		
		Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.				
9	Deputy Jailers.	70	-	7 2	8	130	-	102 1938	- -	18	Deputy Jailers.		
83	First Grade Warders.	24	-	2/8	30	1/8	28	26-20/43 2205-15-0		85	First Grade Warders		
10	Second Grade Warders.	16-16 19	- -	1/4 2/7	17 21	1/5		19 15471	1 -	804	Second Grade Warders		
	Special pay.	3						3			Nil.		

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment, the Head of the Department should certify that
to the provisions of Art. 429, C.S.R., and should specify any case in which the maximum pension e

d 193 .

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revision of Establishment.

T. & A. 10—2,820—6-8-37. (5)

57, Vol. I, C.A.C.)

													Proposition						
scale.								Permanent.				Temporary							
	Pay.						Average cost.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		Increase per month		Decrease per month		Orders of the sanctioning authority			
Minimum.		Increment.		Maximum.				Amount.		Amount.		Period.	Amount	Period.	Amount				
(9)		(10)		(11)			(12)	(13)		(14)		(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)			
RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.		RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.			RS.	A.			
0	-	7½/2		130	-		100 1830												
20-2/2-26-1/2-28.							20-20/20 20.79 C.												
16-16-1/2-17-1/2							19	1											
19-2/7-21.							15336	6											

ims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference
narily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article, M.T.M., para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across this column.

epartment,

Signature

untant-General

Officer' Designation